1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT SEATTLE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 8) Plaintiff, 9 Case No. CR01-373-TSZ 10 v. PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND DETERMINATION AS TO ANITA DUNLAP, ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF 11 SUPERVISED RELEASE Defendant. 12 13 **INTRODUCTION** 14 I conducted a hearing on alleged violations of supervised release in this case on July 13, 15 2010. The defendant appeared pursuant to warrant issued in this case. The United States was 16 represented by Steven Masada, and defendant was represented by Howard Ratner. Also present 17 was U.S. Probation Officer Sarah Moore. The proceedings were digitally recorded. 18 SENTENCE AND PRIOR ACTION 19 Defendant was sentenced on February 15, 2002 by the Honorable Thomas S. Zilly for Bank 20 Fraud. She received one day with credit for time served and five years of supervised release. 21 On July 15, 2005, Ms. Dunlap was arrested pursuant to a federal warrant. It was alleged that 22 she had nine violations of her supervised release which included: committing Social Security 23 fraud; obtaining an automobile loan without approval; failing to disclose all assets and liabilities; PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND DETERMINATION AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE - 1

1	obtaining a real estate loan without approval; failing to pay restitution; and failing to submit a
2	truthful and complete written report within the first five days of each month. On July 28, 2005, a
3	supplemental violation report was submitted alleging additional violations: including new credit
4	charges, opening additional lines of credit and obtaining a loan without approval; and submitting
5	false statements regarding checking/savings account information on monthly report forms.
6	On September 1, 2005, Ms. Dunlap's first term of supervised release was revoked and she
7	was sentenced to six months of detention followed by 50 months of supervised release.
8	On January 10, 2006, Ms. Dunlap's second term of supervised release commenced and had
9	an expiration date of March 9, 2010.
10	PRESENTLY ALLEGED VIOLATIONS
11	In a petition dated August 7, 2009, U.S. Probation Officer Tammy M. White alleged that
12	defendant violated the following conditions of supervised release:
13	1. Failing to submit a truthful and complete written report within the first five days of
14	June and July 2009, in violation of standard condition 2.
15	2. Failing to notify the probation office at least ten days prior to any change in
16	residence, in violation of standard condition 6.
17	FINDINGS FOLLOWING EVIDENTIARY HEARING
18	Defendant admitted the above violations, waived any hearing as to whether they occurred,
19	and was informed the matter would be set for a disposition hearing August 4, 2010 at 1:30 p.m.
20	before District Judge Thomas S. Zilly.
21	RECOMMENDED FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS
22	Based upon the foregoing, I recommend the court find that defendant has violated the
23	///

PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND DETERMINATION AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE - 2

conditions of his supervised release as alleged above, and conduct a disposition hearing. DATED this 13th day of July, 2010. BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA United States Magistrate Judge

PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND DETERMINATION AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE - 3